## 

1 2 3	Christopher Campanella 309 Phillips Road Valley Falls NY 12185	U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF N.Y. FILED
4		DISTRICT COURT  AUG 3 > 2010
5	NORTHERN DISCTRIC OF NEW YORK  ALBANY	
	Christopher Campanella	Case # 10-CV-0684-LEK-DRH
	Plaintiff,	
		MOTION TO STRIKE
		DEFENDANTS ANSWER AS
	VS.	UNTIMELY
	AURORA LOAN SERVICING	
	Defendant	Date: 8/31/10
7		
8	PLAINTIF	F'S MOTION TO STRIKE
9 10	Plaintiff is: Christopher Campanella,     defendant is: AURORA LOAN SE	309 Phillips Road Valley Falls NY 12185, and RVICING.
11	• •	court an ORIGINAL COMPLAINT. On June 21,
12		summons and a copy of plaintiff's complaint by
13 14	and through the United States Mars	shal Service. Defendant did not file a responsive nit.
15	3. On July 20 <sup>th</sup> , Plaintiff filed a Motion	For No Answer Default
16	4. On July 20 <sup>th</sup> , Clerk of the court signed	d the default and entered it into the court record.
17	5. On July 26 <sup>th</sup> , Defendant entered an A	cknowledgement of Service. Then followed the
18	Acknowledgement up with a motio	n to dismiss on August 12, 2010.
19	6. Defendants answer is untimely, and n	nust be stricken from the record. There are
20	remedies within the FRCP upon wh	nich they can petition to overturn the Order of
21	Default for non response.	

22		<u>PRAYER</u>
23	7.	Plaintiff Requests the Following:
24		a. Defendants entire Answer be stricken from the record.
25		b. Court will direct the Defendant to properly motion/petition the court to lawfully
26		overcome the entry of No answer Default.
27	8.	IN THE INFERENCE
28		a. Plaintiff requests rezoning as to why the Clerk offered Defendant an extension of
29		time when no extension seemed to be asked for. Secondly, it is believed that the
30		Clerk may have acted outside of their authority to grant such an extension.
31		Plaintiff, not being learned in law may not understand the intricacies to the
32		Federal Court System, and offers that this may be an oversight, and easily
33		rectifiable. If Plaintiff is misunderstanding the docket entry information, it is
34		requested that an explanation be granted so as to not cause any further delay from
35		either party.
36		b. Should this Motion to strike be denied, Plaintiff is including with this motion, a
37		Response to the Rule 12 Dismissal offered by Defendant.
38		
39	Respec	tfully Submitted,
40 41	/U	en//
42	Christe	opher Campanella